

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR01-309-RSL
09 Plaintiff,)
10 v.) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
11 JERRY DASHAWN COLEMAN,) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO
12 Defendant.) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13

14 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before
15 me on April 20, 2006. The United States was represented by AUSA Susan Roe and the defendant
16 by Scott Engelhard. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about March 28, 2002 by the Honorable Barbara
18 Jacobs Rothstein on a charge of Misrepresenting a Social Security Number, and sentenced to 15
19 months custody, 3 years supervised release. (Dkt. 131) An amended judgment was entered on
20 May 13, 2002. (Dkt. 147.)

21 The conditions of supervised release included the standard conditions plus the requirements
22 that defendant be prohibited from possessing a firearm, submit to mandatory drug testing, submit

01 to search, pay restitution of \$28,149.68, provide access to financial information, maintain a single
02 checking account for all financial transactions, be prohibiting from incurring new credit without
03 permission, not be self-employed or employed by friends, relatives or persons known to him unless
04 approved, get permission for all employment, not work for cash, provide pay stubs to probation
05 officer, and not possess any form of identification in any but defendant's true name.

06 In an application dated March 24, 2006 (Dkt 224), U.S. Probation Officer Jonathan M.
07 Ishii alleged the following violations of the conditions of probation:

08 1. Associating with persons engaged in criminal activity and associating with persons
09 who have been convicted of a felony, on or about October 29, 2005 in violation of standard
10 condition 9.

11 2. Failure to notify the probation officer within 72 hours of being arrested or
12 questioned by a law enforcement officer, on or about February 23, 2006, in violation of standard
13 condition 11.

14 3. Failure to notify the probation officer at least 20 days prior to any change in
15 residence, on or about March 1, 2006, in violation of standard condition 6.

16 4. Failure to notify the probation office within 72 hours of being arrested or
17 questioned by a law enforcement officer, on or about March 15, 2005 in violation of standard
18 condition 11.

19 5. Associating with persons engaged in criminal activity and associating with persons
20 who have been convicted of a felony, on or about March 15, 2006, in violation of standard
21 condition 9.

22 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

01 Defendant admitted alleged violations 2-5 and waived any evidentiary hearing as to
02 whether they occurred. The government moved to dismiss violation 1.

03 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged
04 in violations 2-5, dismiss violation 1, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of
05 disposition. The next hearing will be set before Judge Lasnik.

06 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

07 DATED this 20th day of April, 2006.

08 

09 Mary Alice Theiler
10 United States Magistrate Judge

11
12 cc: District Judge: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik
13 AUSA: Susan Roe, Andrew Friedman
14 Defendant's attorney: Scott Engelhard
15 Probation officer: Jonathan M. Ishii
16
17
18
19
20
21
22